

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SEAN E. MUSTILL,

Plaintiff,

v.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY MAIN JAIL,  
et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-1720 CKD P

ORDER AND

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed March 4, 2022, plaintiff's amended complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file a second amended complaint was granted. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district court judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and

Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: April 14, 2022



---

CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1  
must1720.fta